State of Alaska FY2002 Governor's Operating Budget

Department of Military and Veterans Affairs
Disaster Planning & Control
Component

Component: Disaster Planning & Control

Contact: David Liebersbach, Director

Tel: (907) 428-7058 Fax: (907) 428-7009 E-mail: dave_liebersbach@ak-prepared.com

Component Mission

The mission of the Division of Emergency Services is to lead, coordinate and support the emergency management system to protect lives and prevent the loss of property from all hazards.

Component Services Provided

This component funds the state's ability to assist its political subdivisions to provide five essential services:

- 1. Mitigate the effects of future disasters by using the results of all-hazards analyses in community planning.
- 2. Prepare for disasters by planning, training and developing facilities/systems for emergency management.
- 3. Safely and effectively respond to actual emergencies and declared disasters.
- 4. Expeditiously recover from emergencies and declared disasters.
- 5. Enhance the planning and preparedness, response, recovery and mitigation capabilities of the State, LEPC's and local communities for technological hazards.

Component Goals and Strategies

The primary responsibility of the Division of Emergency Services (DES) and all members of the emergency management community is to protect the lives and property of all citizens in a cost effective manner pursuant to AS 26.20 and applicable parts of Title 46. The key to successfully performing this mission is to establish a structured approach.

Therefore the major goals of this component are to: 1) reduce the projected risk of loss of life and property from hazards; 2) reduce suffering from the impact of disasters; 3) increase the capability of communities to recover from a disaster; 4) decrease per capita expenditures for disaster; 5) improve customer satisfaction; and 6) develop a statewide-integrated emergency management system to protect the lives and property of Alaskans in time of disaster.

These goals are best accomplished by: 1) identifying the hazards and risks faced by each jurisdiction; 2) establishing priorities for meeting these risks; 3) taking necessary action to mitigate the potential effects of these risks; 4) establishing plans, policies, and procedures for how jurisdictions at every level of government will respond to emergencies; 5) establishing training programs to improve readiness to execute critical emergency management functions; 6) providing a structured program to exercise approved plans, policies, procedures, systems and facilities during emergency response activities and under realistic simulated conditions on a recurring basis; 7) identifying operational deficiencies during these exercises and taking necessary corrective action; 8) training emergency response personnel and other officials to improve operational understanding and capability; 9) providing the facilities, equipment and expertise necessary to implement response plans when an emergency or disaster strikes; and 10) increasing the flexibility at the regional and local government levels to match grant funding with individual emergency management needs as much as possible.

FY 02 Plan of Objectives by Emergency Management Function:

Preparedness:

- 1. Chair the State Emergency Management Project (SEMP) workgroup initiated to fully integrate key state agencies into a comprehensive state emergency management system.
- Continue to establish and train a cadre of reservists to enhance response and recovery operations through the
 development of a single statewide qualification system for response/recovery operations; adapt the ICS National
 Training Curriculum to meet training needs; provide communities with a mechanism to assist one another through
 coordination with the State Emergency Coordination Center; develop a statewide resource ordering and tracking
 system; and continue development of an Alaska Emergency Management System (AEMS)
- 3. Co-Chair with FEMA Region Ten the Alaska Regional Interagency Steering Committee.

- 4. Complete the DES Standard Operating Procedures manual that will include DES operational administrative policies, procedures and guidelines which are applicable on a daily basis.
- 5. Continue the development of the State Emergency Coordination Center into a multi-agency, multi-event coordination center for all State response activities.
- 6. Develop and implement MOU's and Cooperative Agreements with agencies which can provide necessary resources in emergency response and recovery operations.
- Continual evaluation of Disaster Assistance Center (DAC) Standard Operating Procedures to include DAC mobile kits.
- 8. Continue to develop and implement procedures and policies for use of the First Class E-mail System. Provide for training and support of system.
- 9. Continue to develop and implement service consistent with DES duties under the State of Alaska Unified Plan for Hazardous Substance and Oil Spill Response.
- 10. Assist LEPC's in training and exercises utilizing the Model Community Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Assist LEPC's in the completion of jurisdiction response/recovery plans to include Hazmat Annexes. Continue to assist LEPCs with their training, exercising, hazard analysis and tier II reporting requirements.
- 11. Provide staff and administrative support to LEPC's and SERC as we continue development of the State's Emergency Management Project and the Alaska Emergency Management System.
- 12. Participate on various teams, associations, and commissions including: AK Regional Response Team, Cook Inlet Regional Citizens Advisory Council, Prince William Sound Regional Citizens Advisory Council, AK Regional Interagency Steering Committee, National Coordinating Council on Emergency Management, Natational Emergency Managers Association, State Telecommunications Committee, State Emergency Response Committee, State Emergency Management Project workgroup.
- 13. Prepare and submit in a timely manner the following reports and grant applications: Federal Emergency Management Performance Grant, Federal Cooperative Agreement, FEMA training, exercise, planning and response information; FEMA local capability assessment and hazard identification data.
- 14. Coordinate with other Federal and State Agencies, local governments and private sector the development and implementation of a Tsunami Warning System and Emergency Alert System.
- 15. Continue the work for the community profile database to develop a statewide resource database structure.
- 16. Develop a standard multi-agency/multi-incident logistics system to track all requests for resources.
- 17. Continue work to provide sound scientific based mapping for tsunami inundation in local communities and to provide effective tsunami warning/evacuation signs for local communities.
- 18. Continue innovative technical work in the development and use of the Internet and web sites for posting data, recruitment in training, assistance in local planning and management of the State Emergency Response Commission.

Response and Recovery

- 1. Coordinate recovery programs; Individual and Family Grants, Public Assistance, Temporary Housing, Small Business Administration so they will more effectively meet the needs of Alaska.
- 2. Update the action plan for disaster project management and close-out of Damage Survey Reports (DSR)
- 3. Maintain State capability to form and deploy interagency incident management teams to manage all types of incidents.
- 4. Work with local jurisdictions to provide first responder equipment for protection, detection, decontamination and communications in response to a terrorist event.
- Maintain a comprehensive recovery program to acquire and manage all possible federal resources available for disasters.

Mitigation

- 1. Increase efforts to prevent or mitigate potential emergencies or disasters.
- 2. Continue development of a State Mitigation Policy in cooperation with Federal, State and local governments.
- 3. Assess non-structural hazard reduction program for the division's office and SECC facility and make necessary improvements.
- 4. Implement and update the earthquake five year plan.
- 5. Incorporate mitigation planning in conjunction with all-hazard planning delivered to LEPCs and local jurisdictions.
- 6. Work with regional and local jurisdictions on mitigation projects and issues related to the 96 Miller's Reach Fire Disaster, the 95 Southcentral Fall Flood Disaster, and the Central Gulf Storm.
- 7. Begin development of a statewide Hazard Vulnerability Analysis.
- 8. Continue to work with local jurisdictions and public sector to form partnerships for working to improve disaster resistance of communities.

Key Component Issues for FY2001 – 2002

The need to develop and maintain a 24 hour State Emergency Coordination Center that coordinates all State response requests and activities is a high priority as we develop a statewide-integrated emergency management system to protect lives and property. This Multi-agency State Emergency Coordination Center would provide a focal point for statewide emergency contact and could improve the overall efficiency of State government.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency's Emergency Performance Grant, provides the Division's major funding. Our cost share for SFY02 will be 52% Federal and 48% State match. This change in cost sharing can currently be covered by our base funding provided from the Disaster Relief Fund and from our allocation of the Interagency/Oil and Hazardous Waste Fund. If however we receive any reduction in funding from our State funding sources we would then incur a reduction in federal funding through the inability to match their funds.

The Division continues to respond to the needs of residents in the Yukon Kuskokwim fish disaster area. This is the third year in a row that fishing has been poor in the YKN increasing the detrimental effects on the citizens in this area. The State is focusing on coordinating assistance to this area from federal sources.

The Disaster Relief Fund continues to have insufficient reserves to respond to incidents or disasters without borrowing from the General Fund. Capitalization of the fund at an average year's funding amount would avert the administratively cumbersome process now followed.

Major Component Accomplishments for FY2000

Disaster Management Activities:

- Since July 1999, DES monitored or responded to a total of 67 emergency management events as part of the Alaska Emergency Management System.
- Responded with DES teams to the Hoonah Land Slide and the Cordova Avalanche. The Cordova Avalanche on January 26th was an event that precipitated a much larger scale State and Federal Disaster, the Central Gulf Storm. A State disaster declaration on Feb 8 was followed by a Federal Disaster Declaration on Feb 17th. Public Assistance activities continue with that disaster.
- Coordinated a Statewide preparedness and mitigation effort for a major cold snap that was predicted in January 2000. As a result communities were prepared and no significant emergencies resulted.
- Supported the Alaska State Troopers on several search and rescue incidents.
- Supported the Dept of Environmental Conservation with incident management team support during the initial phases of the Alaska Railroad Derailment and jet fuel spill on Dec 22, 1999.
- Participated with the Federal Aviation Administration, other Federal agencies and the Canadian Emergency Management Organization in a Northern Response II exercise.
- Continued with the final stages of the Y2K preparation and outreach. Completed all eight community outreach conferences throughout the state in partnership with the Dept of Administration, Dept of Military & Veterans Affairs and the Alaska National Guard. Private/business organizations also participated in the conferences where applicable. Scheduled and conducted a Y2K training exercise during the 9999 date rollover with the team players forecast to be involved in the actual Y2K rollover on Dec 31st. Conducted several days of Y2K preparation training with the Alaska State Defense Force, State agencies, Federal agencies and private/volunteer organizations just before the Y2K rollover. DES and its partners were in place during the rollover on New Year's Eve and was able to contact 249 out of 250 communities early New Years Day. No community had a Y2K related problem and the Governor and Disaster Policy Cabinet was briefed early New Years Day on the status throughout the State.
- Managed recovery programs in response to the 1998 Western Alaska Fisheries Disaster. The Federal Emergency Disaster Assistance program continued to be administered in SFY2000 and has provided

\$10,337,803 to 3802 applicants. This program is in the final stages of completion and is scheduled to be closed out by March 31, 2001.

• Continued recovery management from the following disasters: 1995 Southcentral Flood, 1995 Fall Flood mitigation, Miller's Reach Fire and 1998 Southeast Storm.

Major Training Events Sponsored by DES:

- "Who's In Charge Here?" was available to LEPC members Statewide.
- Various hazardous materials courses were sponsored by the Division.
- Ammonia Chlorine Training This training exercised the Anchorage and Fairbanks HazMat Response Teams. It
 was the first time the two teams had an opportunity to train together. This training was coordinated with DEC.
 Our agencies co-sponsored this event to make the most of our training funds.
- Counter Narcotics Tactical Operations Medical Support (CONTOMS) DES sponsored various community EMT personnel to attend this course. It trained them how to respond to an incident with various hazardous materials.
- Sponsored around 20 Alaskans to attend training at the Federal Emergency Management Institute.
- Continued innovative technical work in the development and use of the Internet and web sites for the recruitment and registration of training courses.
- Performed the Annual Training Needs Analysis for Local Emergency Planning Committees (LEPCs).
- A quarterly training schedule was developed for the State Emergency Response Commission/LEPC meetings.
- Continued to work with LEPCs to meet their training needs
- Assisted the Municipality of Anchorage with their full scale Weapons of Mass Destruction exercise.

Mitigation and Earthquake Program Highlights:

- Expanded the State's Tsunami Sign Program designed to improve tsunami preparedness and mitigation efforts in Alaska's tsunami-prone coastal communities. DES promoted tsunami awareness and planning measures by visiting Sitka, Sand Point, Homer, Seward, Unalaska, Valdez, and Cordova to encourage each community to develop a tsunami evacuation plan and post tsunami warning and evacuation route signs. Subsequently, Sitka, Sand Point, Kodiak, and Homer have each completed the process and have received their signs. Sitka and Sand Point have aggressively pursued the tsunami awareness program in their communities, completing all phases of their projects. Sitka was the first community in Alaska to complete their sign placement and begin a tsunami education process.
- Continued the tsunami inundation mapping program, prioritizing nine communities to be mapped in the next three
 years. The program will provide valuable tsunami runup data necessary for communities to prepare for and
 mitigate against potential tsunamis. Partners in the program are the University of Alaska's Geophysical Institute,
 the National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, and the State's Division of Geological and
 Geophysical Surveys.
- Purchased a "Quake Cottage" earthquake simulator and employed it at fairs, trade shows, etc. to increase public
 awareness and improve the State's earthquake preparedness and mitigation program. This was in addition to
 municipal earthquake preparedness meetings, outreach activities, or training conducted in Kenai Peninsula
 Borough, Fairbanks North Star Borough, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, Unalaska, Sitka, Juneau, Seward, Sand
 Point, Valdez, and Anchorage and Wasilla High, Middle, and Grade Schools. Audiences included a combination
 of community leaders, emergency managers, school administrators, and students.
- Implemented "Fast Track" Hazard Mitigation Grant Program projects in Cordova and Valdez resulting from DR-1316-AK, the federally declared Gulf Storm Disaster. The projects are relocating or purchasing homes located in high threat avalanche areas.
- Continued expanding the Project Impact program with the selection of Valdez as the 2001 Project Impact
 community. Valdez joins Anchorage, Kenai-Peninsula Borough, and Matanuska-Susitna Borough in this
 successful program designed to promote disaster resistant communities by building local jurisdiction/private
 partnerships. Because of their noteworthy success, Kenai-Peninsula Borough was selected by FEMA Region 10
 as the regions star Project Impact Community.
- Completed a comprehensive risk analysis, which was used to develop a Draft State Hazard Mitigation Plan. Efforts are ongoing to further refine and complete the plan.

- Promoted and supported an active Post-Disaster Damage Assessment training program led by the Municipality of Anchorage's Building Safety Division. The team trained more than 172 personnel at four sessions in Anchorage and Kenai Peninsula Borough. They additionally completed structural and non-structural evaluations of public buildings in Mat-Su Borough as well as conducting Critical Building and Shelter Survey training in Kenai Peninsula Borough.
- DES continues to support the Microzonation Project administered by the University of Alaska's Geophysical Institute. The project goal is to determine site specific ground shaking characteristics from likely potential earthquakes. When the project is completed in 2001, the results will be used to implement more effective engineering design and construction standards in the Municipality of Anchorage.

Statutory and Regulatory Authority

Alaska Statutes. Title 26. Military Affairs and Veterans Chapter 23. Disasters

Administrative Order No. 170

AS 29.35.040. Emergency Disaster Powers.

AS 44.33.285. Action By Governor.

AS 46.04.080. Catastrophic Oil Discharges.

AS 46.09.030. Disaster Emergencies.

Key Performance Measures for FY2002

Measure: Preparedness as measured by after action reports

(Added by Legislature in FY2000 version.)

Current Status:

On track

Background and Strategies:

The Division of Emergency Services traditionally uses the after action reports to identify if improvements can be made to response efforts. Identified improvements are incorporated into plans as needed.

Measure: Average time to close out disasters

(Added by Legislature in FY2000 version.)

Benchmark:

Each Disaster will have a different time frame for close out depending on the size of the disaster and the number of people and communities involved.

The overall objective is to close the disasters as soon as possible so the impacted parties will have closure and any remaining funds will be returned to the Federal Government or to the Disaster Relief Fund.

Background and Strategies:

The Division of Emergency Services is placing a greater emphasis on closure of disasters. At the present time the Division is working with FEMA to close Southcentral Flood and Millers Reach. Closure will occur in the next few months. The Southcentral Flood occurred in 1995 and Miller's Reach in 1996, five and four years duration respectively. The 1999 Coastal Storm Avalanche disaster will be closed within an estimated 18 months.

Measure: Number of persons assisted

(Added by Legislature in FY2000 version.)

Background and Strategies:

This measure needs additional discussion with the Legislature. On one level, the Division works with communities statewide to plan response to various types of threats. In addition, the Division maintains emergency alerts systems that benefit each citizen of the state. Evaluated at this level, the number of persons assisted would equal the population of the State.

On another level, the Division, during an actual response to an event, assists each resident in the area that has been impacted and also the community in the disaster area. In these situations, a lesser number of individuals are assisted.

Measure: Number of lives saved

(Added by Legislature in FY2000 version.)

Background and Strategies:

More discussion with the legislature is required in order for the Division to properly report on this measure. The Division works on a community level on planning, response and recovery. It is difficult to determine how many lives the Division saves when the mission is to assist communities in the local response. Community level response (police, fire fighters etc) are in a position to actually save a life.

Measure: Update/revise the State Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Add evacuation/sheltering, animal assistance/control and donation management functions.

(Revised from Legislature's FY2000 version.)

Current Status:

The State EOP was promulgated in 1994. Although still in use in SFY00, it needs to be updated/revised to make it consistent with current emergency management practice and the lessons learned from recent State disasters.

Benchmark:

The FEMA Capability Assessment for Readiness includes detailed guidelines for State Emergency Operations Plans.

Background and Strategies:

The content of the State EOP needs to be revised to reflect current State Emergency Coordination Center (SECC) organization, SECC preparedness levels and Disaster Policy Cabinet (DPC) organization, etc. Because of the lessons learned in the 94 Fall Flood Disaster, the 95 South Central Storm Disaster, the 96 Miller's Reach Disaster and the recent Western Alaska Fisheries Disasters the Division has a clear idea of the revisions that need to be made to the State EOP. What needs to happen now is for the Division to draft a revised EOP, coordinate/staff it with its State, Federal, local, private sector and volunteer agency partners, obtain executive level approval, and then publish and distribute it.

Measure: Successfully apply Alaska Emergency Management System to two actual or simulated incidents involving State and borough offices including the activation of State Interagency Incident Management Teams.

(Not yet addressed by Legislature.)

Current Status:

On Track in SFY00

Benchmark:

The Draft National Emergency Management Association recommendation for the exercising state emergency management systems is twice yearly.

Background and Strategies:

Responded to two real incidents to date in SFY00 and will exercise the system during the Y2K Millennium Turnover. From these events DES will identify areas for improvement for SFY01.

Measure: Develop an emergency warning system that is incorporated into the State Emergency Operations

Plan with a regular schedule for testing and maintenance of the system.

(Not yet addressed by Legislature.)

Current Status:

Basic Warning annex included in the State Emergency Operations Plan in SFY00 needs revisions to reflect changes in the State Emergency Management System. A regular testing schedule is not currently available.

Benchmark:

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), State Capability Assessment for Readiness (CAR) identifies benchmarks recommended for all State Emergency Management systems. The CAR indicates that all states should have emergency warning addressed in the State Plan with a regular schedule for testing and Maintenance of the system.

Background and Strategies:

The State is currently working with Tsunami Mitigation Funding to improve tsunami warning and preparedness statewide. This program provides for tsunami run-up mapping and warning sings for selected communities and the development of a tsunami warning exercise program. Since Tsunami's are the number one warning hazard in the State this will provide the foundation of work to meet this performance measure.

Measure: Develop deployment procedures for a Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Response Team and identify State agency and local jurisdiction's response resource capabilities.

(Not yet addressed by Legislature.)

Current Status:

The State does not have a WMD response team in SFY00 but has obtained funding for a federal "RAID" team. The State is also in the process of applying for a federal grant that will provide response resource equipment to local jurisdictions.

Benchmark:

The FEMA State Capability Assessment for Readiness (CAR) identifies benchmarks recommended for all State Emergency Management systems. The CAR indicates that all states should have deployment procedures for a WMD Response Team and have adequate resources at the State and local jurisdictions to respond to a WMD incident.

Background and Strategies:

With the increase in domestic terrorist events the federal government has encouraged State's to improve their capabilities to respond and recover from WMD events in the future.

Measure: Develop Key functions for Disaster Recovery Centers and identify staff trained to assist with disaster applications.

(Not yet addressed by Legislature.)

Current Status:

As of SFY00 the State has identified functions and staff to assist in past disaster application centers and will apply lessons learned from these incidents to develop procedures and training for future responses.

Benchmark:

The FEMA State Capability Assessment for Readiness (CAR) identifies benchmarks recommended for all State Emergency Management systems. The CAR indicates that all states should identify key functions for Disaster Recovery Centers to include the identification of trained staff from State, local private and volunteer resources.

Background and Strategies:

In past operations the Disaster Recovery Center has been a key link to those suffering from the impact of disasters and our ability to assist them in the recovery process. Several unique issues in Alaska require extensive planning for effective Disaster Recovery Center Operations, such as language barriers, remote locations and the involvement of native organizations in our partnerships for recovery.

Status of FY2001 Performance Measures

	Achieved	On track	Too soon to tell	Not likely to achieve	Needs modification
 Preparedness as measured by the "after action" reports. 			Х		
The average time to close out disasters.		X			
 The number of persons assisted and lives saved. 		Χ			
The number of updates to the State Emergency Plan.		Х			
 Successfully apply Alaska Emergency Management System to two actual or simulated incidents involving State and borough offices including the activation of State Interagency Incident Management Teams. 		Х			
 Develop an emergency warning system that is incorporated into the State Emergency Operations Plan with a regular schedule for testing and maintenance of the system. 					Х
 Update/revise the State Emergency Operations Plan (EOP). Add evacuation/sheltering, animal assistance/control and donation management functions. 					Х
 Develop deployment procedures for a Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD) Response Team and identify State agency and local jurisdiction's response resource capabilities. 					Х
 Develop Key functions for Disaster Recovery Centers and identify staff trained to assist with disaster applications. 					Х

Disaster Planning & Control

Component Financial Summary

All dollars in thousands

	FY2000 Actuals	FY2001 Authorized	FY2002 Governor
Non-Formula Program:			
Component Expenditures:			
71000 Personal Services	1,956.1	2,118.0	2,561.5
72000 Travel	248.7	316.4	290.2
73000 Contractual	569.5	803.4	827.5
74000 Supplies	166.3	71.0	71.0
75000 Equipment	67.8	137.7	237.7
76000 Land/Buildings	0.0	0.0	0.0
77000 Grants, Claims	270.3	542.8	542.8
78000 Miscellaneous	0.0	0.0	0.0
Expenditure Totals	3,278.7	3,989.3	4,530.7
Funding Sources:			
1002 Federal Receipts	1,552.7	2,035.4	2,484.2
1003 General Fund Match	469.4	472.0	478.9
1004 General Fund Receipts	0.0	0.0	203.0
1007 Inter-Agency Receipts	689.8	781.1	728.1
1053 Investment Loss Trust Fund	0.0	4.3	0.0
1055 Inter-agency/Oil & Hazardous Waste	566.8	558.5	499.4
1061 Capital Improvement Project Receipts	0.0	138.0	137.1
Funding Totals	3,278.7	3,989.3	4,530.7

Estimated Revenue Collections

Description	Master Revenue Account	FY2000 Actuals	FY2001 Authorized	FY2001 Cash Estimate	FY2002 Governor	FY2003 Forecast
Unrestricted Revenues						
None.		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Unrestricted Total		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Restricted Revenues						
Federal Receipts	51010	1,552.7	2,035.4	2,035.4	2,484.2	2,485.4
Interagency Receipts	51015	689.8	781.1	781.1	728.1	728.1
Capital Improvement Project Receipts	51200	0.0	138.0	137.1	137.1	137.1
Investment Loss Trust Fund	51393	0.0	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0
Interagency Recs./Oil & Hazardous Waste	51395	566.8	558.5	558.5	499.4	498.4
Restricted Total		2,809.3	3,517.3	3,516.4	3,848.8	3,849.0
Total Estimated Revenues		2,809.3	3,517.3	3,516.4	3,848.8	3,849.0

Disaster Planning & Control

Proposed Changes in Levels of Service for FY2002

Proposed Changes in Levels of Services for SFY02:

- 1. Increased Federal Funding \$450.0 for:
- \$100.0 for Terrorism Grant Equipment for State and Local response agencies.
- \$350.0 for seven (7) permanent Direct Disaster positions, these positions have been employed by the department of various federal disasters in previous years and were posted a unbudgeted I/A receipts of directly charge to the federal disasters.
- 2. Added \$200.0 GF to fund a 7-day-a-week / 24-hours-a-day / 365-days-a-year capability for the State Emergency Coordination Center. DES currently meets their around-the-clock readiness needs through an on-call duty officer after normal working hours. Most other states have a 7-day-a-week / 24-hours-a-day / 365-days-a-year resource. A full-time professional staff will significantly reduce response time for all levels of emergency/disaster events, especially those events requiring a coordinated response by multiple local, state, federal, volunteer and private industry organizations.
- 3. A net reduction in I/A receipts of \$51.8 for the following two projects:
- Increase of \$ 50.0 in I/A to purchase Satellite Imaging if there is an emergency situation within the State that can be mitigated by the intelligence provided through the Satellite Imaging products.
- Decrease \$101.8 for I/A receipts due to direct disaster positions that are now budgeted as federal receipts.
- 4. A reduction of \$60.1 from the Oil & Hazardous Response fund will be taken in personnel services, travel, and contractual services for work with SERC, LEPC, and AEMS projects.

Summary of Component Budget Changes

From FY2001 Authorized to FY2002 Governor

All dollars in thousands

	General Funds	Federal Funds	Other Funds	Total Funds
FY2001 Authorized	476.3	2,035.4	1,477.6	3,989.3
Adjustments which will continue current level of service: -Year 2 Labor Costs - Net Change from FY2001	5.6	-1.2	-1.1	3.3
Proposed budget decreases: -Reduction to Interagency Receipts due to Direct Disaster Positions	0.0	0.0	-101.8	-101.8
Being Funded by Federal Receipts -Reduction of Oil & Hazardous Substance Response Funding for SERC/LEPC Support	0.0	0.0	-60.1	-60.1
Proposed budget increases:				
-State Emergency Coordination Center 24-Hour Operation	200.0	0.0	0.0	200.0
-Weapons of Mass Destruction	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
(WMD) Terrorism Federal Grant -Direct Disaster Positions Funded by Federal Receipts	0.0	350.0	0.0	350.0

Released December 15th FY2002 Governor
01/04/2001 3:15 PM Department of Military and Veterans Affairs Page 11

-Purchase of Satellite Images in	General Funds	Federal Funds	Other Funds	Total Funds
Emergency Situations	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0
FY2002 Governor	681.9	2,484.2	1,364.6	4,530.7

Disaster Planning & Control

Personal Services Information

Authorized Positions		Personal Services Costs		
	FY2001	FY2002		
	<u>Authorized</u>	<u>Governor</u>	Annual Salaries	1,983,038
Full-time	35	46	COLA	28,230
Part-time	0	0	Premium Pay	0
Nonpermanent	2	0	Annual Benefits	713,806
·			Less 6.00% Vacancy Factor	(163,574)
			Lump Sum Premium Pay	Ó
Totals	37	46	Total Personal Services	2,561,500

Position Classification Summary

Job Class Title	Anchorage	Fairbanks	Juneau	Others	Total
Accountant III	0	0	1	0	1
Accounting Tech II	1	0	0	0	1
Administrative Clerk II	2	0	0	0	2
Administrative Clerk III	1	0	0	0	1
Administrative Manager I	1	0	0	0	1
Analyst/Programmer IV	1	0	0	0	1
Comm Eng Assoc I	1	0	0	0	1
Division Director	1	0	0	0	1
Emergency Management Assistant	6	0	0	0	6
Emergency Management Spec	17	0	0	0	17
Emergency Program Manager	6	0	0	0	6
Information Officer II	1	0	0	0	1
Maint Spec Etrician Journey II	1	0	0	0	1
Micro/Network Spec I	1	0	0	0	1
Micro/Network Tech I	1	0	0	0	1
Micro/Network Tech II	3	0	0	0	3
Supply Technician II	1	0	0	0	1
Totals	45	0	1	0	46